

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER )  
AUTHORITY and WESTLANDS WATER )  
DISTRICT, )  
Plaintiffs, )  
v. )  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR; )  
GALE A. NORTON, a Secretary of )  
the U.S. Department of the )  
Interior, etc., et al., )  
Defendants. )

1:02-cv-6461 OWW DLB  
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULING  
ORDER CONSOLIDATING ACTIONS  
AND ORDER REGARDING  
SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS

I. Date of Scheduling Conference.

February 8, 2006.

II. Appearances Of Counsel.

Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard by Daniel J.  
O'Hanlon, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs San Luis &  
Delta-Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District.

James Maysonette, Esq., Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of  
Justice, appeared on behalf of Defendants U.S. Department of the  
Interior and all other Federal Defendants.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher by Christopher H. Buckley, Jr.,

1 Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs California Farm Bureau  
2 Federation, a California non-profit corporation.

3 Brenda Jahns Southwick, Esq., appeared on behalf of  
4 Plaintiffs Ted Sheeley and California Farm Bureau Federation.

5 Rhonda Lucas, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiff  
6 California Farm Bureau Federation.

7 Trent W. Orr, Esq., Andrea A. Treece, Esq., and Katherine  
8 Poole, Esq., appeared on behalf of Natural Resources Defense  
9 Council.

10 III. Consolidation For All Purposes.

11 1. Case Numbers 1:02-cv-6461 OWW DLB and 1:05-cv-01280 OWW  
12 LJO by agreement of all parties, are hereby ordered consolidated  
13 for all purposes, including trial.

14 2. All future pleadings shall be filed under Case Number  
15 1:02-cv-6461 OWW DLB. Case Number 1:05-cv-01280 OWW LJO shall be  
16 administratively closed.

17 IV. Settlement.

18 1. The parties shall have sixty (60) days through and  
19 including April 14, 2006, to determine whether these consolidated  
20 cases can be non-judicially resolved. The Plaintiffs and Federal  
21 Defendants shall engage in preliminary discussions. At the time  
22 any substantive proposals of settlements are to be communicated,  
23 all parties shall have full participation in any and all  
24 settlement negotiations.

25 V. Future Schedule.

26 1. If the cases do not settle by April 14, 2006, the  
27 parties shall confer and shall provide the Court with a proposed  
28 schedule for the final resolution of these cases. The proposed

1 schedule shall be filed by April 15, 2006. If necessary, a  
2 scheduling conference will then be convened.

3  
4 SO ORDERED.

5  
6 DATED: February 9, 2006.

7  
8 /s/ OLIVER W. WANGER

9  
10 Oliver W. Wanger  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12 san luis v. dept of interior sch con  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28